

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•				
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/084,712	02/25/2002	Lalitha Agnihotri	US020056	5878	
	24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 04/26/2007 CLLECTUAL PROPERT	EXAMINER			
	P.O. BOX 3001			NGUYEN BA,	NGUYEN BA, HOANG VU A	
	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2623		
		•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				04/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/084,712	AGNIHOTRI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Hoang-Vu A. Nguyen-Ba	2623		

	Hoang-Vu A. Nguyen-Ba	2623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 26 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	•						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):						
non-allowable claim(s).	6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8,9,11,13-17,20 and 21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
	11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.							
Hoangu	aaring desugeer Ba	Hoang-Vu Antony	Nauven-Ra				
	ANTONY NGUYEN-BA	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2623					

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 13. Other:

- 1. Objection to Claims 9 and 20 withdrawn;
- 112/2nd rejection of Claims 16, 1 and 20 withdrawn;
- 3. 103a rejection of Claims 1-5, 8-11, 13-16 as unpatentable over Ward III in view of Ahmad:
 - a. Claims 11 and 13-16 are included in the the rejection as set forth at pages 11-12;
- b. in response to Applicants' argument that the Office action appears to overlook the fact that Applicant's first argument focused on the recitation in claim 1 of "summaries" but instead, focuses on the definition of an episode, the examiner respectfully notes the following:
- the Office action does mention at the bottom of p. 8 that, in an analogous art, ahmad discloses news summaries of different episodes (e.g., Insurer's Eye Erin's Cost is the summary of the event or episode dated Thu, 3 Aug 95 and Erin: A Tropical Storm, another episode dated Wed, 2 Aug 95 of the same tropical Storm Erin); the claimed "Summaries of other episodes" is deemed properly addressed in the rejection and the finality of the action is considered proper:
- 4. Claims 2-5 and 8 are not deemed allowable (see above response and rejection of these claims in the previous Office action:
- Claims 11 and 13-16 are not deemed allowable (see above response and the rejection of these claims in the previous Office action):
- Claim 20 is not allowable (see above response and rejection of claim 20 in the previous Office action);
- Claim 21 is not allowable (see above response and the rejection set forth in the previous Office action);
- 103a rejection of Claims 6 and 17 as unpatentable over Ward III in view of Ahmad and further in view of Shoff; since the rejections of claims 1 and 21 are incorporated in claims 6 and 17, respectively and since the features specifically recited in Claim 6 and 17 are addressed in the previous Office action and that the rejection of Claims 6 and 17 is traversed by Applicants without rationale, the rejection of these claims are maintained;
- Since Claim 9 recites the same feature of Claims 1 and 21, the same response as set forth above also applies to claim 9.

ANTONY NGUYEN-BA PRIMARY EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**

2